REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FRANKLIN COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS www.kyauditor.net

144 CAPITOL ANNEX FRANKFORT, KY 40601 TELEPHONE (502) 564-5841 FACSIMILE (502) 564-2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT EXAMINATION OF THE FRANKLIN COUNTY SHERIFF

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the Franklin County Sheriff's audit for the year ended December 31, 2001. We have issued an unqualified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

Excess fees increased by \$45,843 from the prior year, resulting in excess fees of \$63,494 as of December 31, 2001. Revenues increased by \$90,101 from the prior year and disbursements increased by \$41,100.

Deposits:

The Sheriff's deposits were insured and collateralized by bank securities or bonds.

CONTENTS	PAGE
CONTENTS	

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES	
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT	
REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL	
OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS11	Ĺ



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Teresa Barton, Franklin County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ted Collins, Franklin County Sheriff
Members of the Franklin County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited the accompanying statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff of Franklin County, Kentucky, for the year ended December 31, 2001. This financial statement is the responsibility of the County Sheriff. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on this financial statement based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the Audit Guide for County Fee Officials issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

As described in Note 1, the County Sheriff's office prepares the financial statement on a prescribed basis of accounting that demonstrates compliance with the modified cash basis and laws of Kentucky, which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2001, in conformity with the modified cash basis of accounting.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Teresa Barton, County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ted Collins, Franklin County Sheriff
Members of the Franklin County Fiscal Court

In accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>, we have also issued our report dated October 10, 2002, on our consideration of the County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with <u>Government Auditing Standards</u> and should be read in conjunction with this report in considering the results of our audit.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - October 10, 2002

FRANKLIN COUNTY TED COLLINS, COUNTY SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES

For The Year Ended December 31, 2001

Receipts

Federal Grants		\$ 8,380
State Fees For Services:		
Waiting on Court	\$ 46,867	
Conveying Convicts	18,092	
Summoning Jurors	2,754	
Summoning Witnesses	 738	68,451
Circuit Court Clerk:		
Sheriff Security Service		42,860
Fiscal Court		600
County Clerk - Delinquent Taxes		2,546
Commission On Taxes Collected		527,818
Fees Collected For Services:		
Auto Inspections	\$ 22,680	
Accident and Police Reports	210	
Serving Papers	 48,055	70,945
Other:		
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	\$ 15,300	
Advertising Fee	37,916	
Insurance Reimbursement	7,237	
Miscellaneous	800	61,253
Interest Earned		 15,435
Total Receipts		\$ 798,288

FRANKLIN COUNTY TED COLLINS, COUNTY SHERIFF STATEMENT OF RECEIPTS, DISBURSEMENTS, AND EXCESS FEES For The Year Ended December 31, 2001 (Continued)

Disbursements

Operating Disbursements and Capital Outlay:

Personnel Services-		
Deputies' Salaries	\$ 514,491	
Other Salaries	8,918	
Contracted Services-		
Advertising	539	
Audit Fee	5,000	
Computer Services	5,385	
Maintenance and Repairs	2,945	
Materials and Supplies-		
Office Materials and Supplies	4,719	
Uniforms	13,019	
Auto Expense-		
Vehicle Expense	41,279	
Other Charges-		
Conveying Convicts	7,566	
Utilities	2,342	
Postage	7,217	
Radio Maintenance	535	
Carrying Concealed Deadly Weapon Permits	9,560	
Training	6,469	
Equipment	11,493	
Bond	571	
Miscellaneous	1,278	
Capital Outlay-		
Vehicles	 14,902	
Total Disbursements		\$ 658,228
Net Receipts		\$ 140,060
Less: Statutory Maximum	\$ 74,443	
Training Incentive	 2,123	 76,566
Excess Fees Due County for 2001		\$ 63,494
Payments to County Treasurer - March 12, 2002		 50,000
Balance Due at Completion of Audit		\$ 13,494

FRANKLIN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2001

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

A fee official uses a fund to report on the results of operations. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

A fee official uses a fund for fees to account for activities for which the government desires periodic determination of the excess of receipts over disbursements to facilitate management control, accountability, and compliance with laws.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a modified cash basis of accounting which is a comprehensive basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Under this basis of accounting, certain receipts and certain expenditures are recognized as a result of accrual at December 31, 2001.

The measurement focus of a fee official is upon excess fees. Remittance of excess fees is due to the County Treasurer in the subsequent year.

C. Cash and Investments

At the direction of the fiscal court, KRS 66.480 authorizes the County Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Employee Retirement System

The county officials and employees have elected to participate in the County Employees Retirement System (CERS), pursuant to KRS 78.530 administered by the Board of Trustees of the Kentucky Retirement Systems. This is a multiple-employer public retirement system that covers all eligible full-time employees. Benefit contributions and provisions are established by statute. Nonhazardous covered employees are required to contribute 5.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for nonhazardous employees was 7.17 percent for the first six months of the year and 6.41 percent for the last six months of the year. Hazardous covered employees are required to contribute 8.0 percent of their salary to the plan. The county's contribution rate for hazardous employees was 16.78 percent for the first six months and 16.28 percent for the last six months of the calendar year.

Duin aima1

FRANKLIN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2001 (Continued)

Note 2. Employee Retirement System (Continued)

Benefits fully vest on reaching five years of service for nonhazardous employees. Aspects of benefits for nonhazardous employees include retirement after 27 years of service or age 65. Aspects of benefits for hazardous employees include retirement after 20 years of service or age 55.

Historical trend information pertaining to CERS' progress in accumulating sufficient assets to pay benefits when due is present in the Kentucky Retirement Systems' annual financial report which is a matter of public record.

Note 3. Deposits

The Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC). According to KRS 66.480(1)(d) and KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution. These requirements were met, and as of December 31, 2001, the Sheriff's deposits were fully insured or collateralized at a 100% level with collateral of either pledged securities held by the Sheriff's agent in the Sheriff's name, or provided surety bond which named the Sheriff as beneficiary/obligee on the bond.

Note 5. Leases

The Sheriff's office is committed to the following lease agreements as of December 31, 2001:

Item	М	onthly	Term of	Ending	Ba	ncipai lance mber 31,
Purchased		yment	Agreement	_		2001
Three Ford Crown Victoria Police Cars; One Ford Passenger Van; One Jeep Cherokee	\$	1,194	48 Months	February 2002	\$	1,883

FRANKLIN COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2001 (Continued)

Note 6. Confiscation and Forfeiture Account

The Sheriff's confiscation and forfeiture account had a beginning balance of \$8,647 as of January 1, 2001. Interest of \$92 was received in this account and \$8,710 was expended from this account. The ending balance as of December 31, 2001, was \$29.

Note 7. DARE Account

The Sheriff's DARE account had a beginning balance of \$1,470 as of January 1, 2001. Donations of \$100 were received in this account and there were no expenditures from this account. The ending balance as of December 31, 2001, was \$1,570.



REPORT ON COMPLIANCE AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



EDWARD B. HATCHETT, JR. AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Paul E. Patton, Governor
T. Kevin Flanery, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Dana Mayton, Secretary, Revenue Cabinet
Honorable Teresa Barton, Franklin County Judge/Executive
Honorable Ted Collins, Franklin County Sheriff
Members of the Franklin County Fiscal Court

Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

We have audited the statement of receipts, disbursements, and excess fees of the Franklin County Sheriff for the year ended December 31, 2001, and have issued our report thereon dated October 10, 2002. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

Compliance

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Franklin County Sheriff's financial statement for the year ended December 31, 2001, is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grants, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance that are required to be reported under <u>Government Auditing Standards</u>.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit, we considered the Franklin County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting in order to determine our auditing procedures for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement and not to provide assurance on the internal control over financial reporting. Our consideration of the internal control over financial reporting would not necessarily disclose all matters in the internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses. A material weakness is a condition in which the design or operation of one or more of the internal control components does not reduce to a relatively low level the risk that misstatements in amounts that would be material in relation to the financial statement being audited may occur and not be detected within a timely period by employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions. We noted no matters involving the internal control over financial reporting and its operation that we consider to be material weaknesses.



Report On Compliance And On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

This report is intended solely for the information and use of management and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than the specified party.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward B. Hatchett, Jr. Auditor of Public Accounts

Audit fieldwork completed - October 10, 2002